



INEEL/EXT-2000-00959 September 2000

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory Site Report on the Production and Use of Recycled Uranium

L. C. Lewis

D.C. Barg

C. L. Bendixsen

J. P. Henscheid

D.R. Wenzel

B. L. Denning

BECHTEL BWXT IDAHO, LLC

Company of the Compan

.

IDAHO NATIONAL ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY SITE REPORT ON THE PRODUCTION AND USE OF RECYCLED URANIUM

L. C. Lewis D. C. Barg C. L. Bendixsen J. P. Henscheid D. R. Wenzel B. L. Denning

Published September 2000

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Management
Under DOE Idaho Operations Office
Contract DE-AC07-99ID13727

Site Team Approval Sheet

| Luck Temis |
|--|
| L. C. Lewis, Site Lead |
| Process Chemist |
| Dorl Day 4/26/00 |
| D. C. Barg, SMC Lead |
| SMC Health Physics |
| |
| C. S. Bandipsen |
| C. L. Bendixsen, ICPP Process Engineering |
| |
| Joseph P Henhul |
| 7. P. Henscheid, ICPP Analytical Chemistry |
| |
| OR Walnel |
| D. R. Wenzel, ICPP Health Physics |
| |
| Bushes Deann |
| B. L. Denning, Materials Control |
| and Accountability |

Executive Summary

Recent allegations regarding radiation exposure to radionuclides present in recycled uranium sent to the gaseous diffusion plants prompted the Department of Energy to undertake a system-wide study of recycled uranium. Of particular interest, were the flowpaths from site to site, operations and facilities in which exposure to plutonium, neptunium and technetium could occur, and to the workers that could receive a significant radiation dose from handling recycled uranium.

The Idaho site report is primarily concerned with two locations at the Idaho site. Recycled uranium was produced at the Idaho Chemical Processing Plant where highly enriched uranium was recovered from spent fuel. The other facility is the Specific Manufacturing Facility (SMC) where recycled, depleted uranium is manufactured into shapes for use by their customer.

The Specific Manufacturing Capability (SMC) is located in the Test Area North, which was originally built in the late 1950's to develop the nuclear aircraft. This development project was terminated and the SMC complex was later installed in the nuclear aircraft project building. SMC's current mission is the fabrication of components from depleted uranium for government purposes.

The SMC is a manufacturing facility that uses depleted uranium metal as a raw material that is then rolled and cut into shapes. There are no chemical processes that might concentrate any of the radioactive contaminant species. Recyclable depleted uranium from the SMC facility is sent to a private metallurgical facility for recasting. Analyses on the recast billets indicate that there is no change in the concentrations of transuranics as a result of the recasting process.

The Idaho Chemical Processing Plant is located in south-eastern Idaho at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL). The facility was built to recover high-enriched uranium from spent nuclear fuel from test reactors. The facility processed diverse types of fuel which required uniquely different fuel dissolution processes. The dissolved fuel was passed through three cycles of solvent extraction which resulted in a concentrated uranyl nitrate product. For the first half of the operating period, the uranium was shipped as the concentrated solution. For the second half of the operating period the uranium solution was thermally converted granular, uranium trioxide solids.

Approximately 85% of the uranium product was shipped to the Y-12 facility at Oak Ridge. Most of the rest was shipped to the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant. Small quantities were shipped to Rocky Flats, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, and to Los Alamos for their use in criticality experiments.

Shipments from ICPP were begun in 1953 and contained until 1998. During this time period there was 32.005 tonnes of high enriched uranium product produced. In addition, there was approximately 20 Kg of material received at ICPP from Y-12 which was a denitrated uranium trioxide which was to be used as the start up bed for denitrating the product. A second shipment

was received from Pacific Northwest National Laboratory at the conclusion of their criticality experiments. The material that was sent back was approximately one-half of the 47 Kgs of uranium that was sent to them in 1978. There were three shipments of uranium from the processing of the stainless steel clad EBR-II fuel consisting of a total of 4.08 metric tonnes of uranium at an enrichment of 50%. There was also 219.10 Kgs sent to Rocky Flats in 1955 and there was 167.61 Kgs sent to Los Alamos in 1984. There is 1.770 tonnes of uranium currently in storage at ICPP. Everything else was shipped to Y-12.

Throughout the history of the ICPP, the uranium product was monitored for its transuranic alpha content, beta content and occasionally for its gamma content. The alpha content was consistently below the informal and formal specification. In the early years the beta ratio was greater than the specification but this was also reduced to a level below the specification limits. The beta emitting contaminant was primarily ruthenium because it was not very effectively removed by the hexone extraction cycles. When the tributyl phosphate cycle was introduced the ruthenium concentration decreased. Uranium-236 and uranium-234 were also significant contaminants in the ICPP product. Uranium-236 was produced by activation of the uranium while it was in the reactor, while uranium-234 was prefentially enriched in the gaseous diffusion plants; and neither uranium isotope could be removed by chemical processing. Technetium-99 was not measured in the uranium product because it was not considered to be a problem during all the years of processing. Its concentration was believed to be insignificant compared to ruthenium.

Currently ICPP has in its recycled uranium product inventory, 1.770 MTU of high enriched uranium trioxide. Most of this material contains a high concentration of U-236 which can result in significant gamma fields when secular equilibrium is approached.

Worker exposure occurred throughout the operating history of the ICPP as the result of normal operations, maintenance activities, analytical chemistry activities, and health physics activities. In the early years personnel were pushed close to the annual or quarterly limits. From the mid 1970s on, workers were closely monitored to make certain that they did not exceed 3 rem per year. The facilities in which exposures took place included all of the facilities where irradiated material was handled or stored. These facilities included CPP-603, CPP-601, CPP-602, CPP-627, CPP-640, CPP-684, CPP-604, CPP-630, CPP-633, CPP-666, and CPP-659. The facilities were the primary fuel processing, waste processing, maintenance, analytical chemistry, and fuel storage facilities. All of these facilities contributed to worker exposure because the ICPP facility was a direct maintenance facility.

The dose reconstruction project has evaluated worker exposure and exposure to the public as the result of normal operations and accidents that occurred at the INEEL. As a result of these studies, the maximum effective dose equivalent from site activities did not exceed seventeen percent of the natural background in Eastern Idaho. There was no year in which the radiation dose to the public exceeded the applicable limits for that year. Worker exposure to recycled uranium was minimized by engineering features that reduced the possibility of direct exposure.

The SMC facility only worked with depleted uranium metal. It received only one lot, and all of its processing activities have been with that lot of material. Metallic waste has been sent to a private recasting company. The quantities of transuranics and technetium have been below the *de minimis* levels, and SMC performs no operations that would result in concentrating or release of any of the contaminants. There have been no releases of this material to the environment from the SMC site. No uranium attributable to SMC operations has been found outside the SMC facility fence.

.

Table of Contents

| Title | Page | | | i | |
|-------|--|----------------------------|--|----------|--|
| Exec | utive Si | ummary | | iii | |
| | e of Co | - | | vi | |
| List | of Table | • | | viii | |
| List | of Figu | es | | ix | |
| | nyms | | | X | |
| | • | to the IN | IEEL Report | 1 | |
| 1.0 | Idaho National Engineering and Environmental | | | | |
| | | | ecycled Uranium Mass Balance Project | 2 | |
| | 1.1 | - | t Overview | 2 3 | |
| | 1.2 | _ | se and Scope | 3 | |
| | 1.3 | - | t Implementation Strategies | 4 | |
| 2.0 | Site | • | 1 Overview | 5 | |
| | 2.1 | Idaho | Chemical Processing Plant Location | 5 | |
| | 2.2 | | Franium Processing Facilities | 5 | |
| | | 2.2.1 | Idaho Chemical Processing Plant | 8 | |
| | | | Plant Description | 8 | |
| | | | Material Flowsheet | 14 | |
| | | 2.2.1.3 | Feed Specifications | 15 | |
| | | | Product Specifications | 15 | |
| | | 2.2.15 | Operating History | 15 | |
| | | 2.2.1.6 | Current Status | 15 | |
| | 2.3 | Activity | Summaries | 15 | |
| | | 2.3.1 | Bottling Liquid Product | 18 | |
| | | 2.3.2 | Packaging Solid Product | 18 | |
| | | 2.3.3 | Analysis of Liquid Product | 18 | |
| | | 2.3.4 | Operating the Denitrator | 18 | |
| | | 2.3.5 | Maintenance on the Denitrator | 18 | |
| | | 2.3.6 | Health Physics Surveillance During Denitrator Operation | 18 | |
| | | 2.3.7 | Health Physics Monitoring During Liquid Product Bottling | 19 | |
| | 2.4 | Work F | orce Exposure | 19 | |
| | 2.5 | | umental Releases | 24 | |
| 3.0 | Recyc | Recycled Uranium Mass Flow | | | |
| | 3.1 | | n Recycle Description | 26 | |
| | 3.2 | Uranium Receipts | | | |
| | 3.3 | Uraniur | n Shipments | 26 | |
| 4.0 | | | Recycled Uranium | 36 | |
| | 4.1 | Analyti | cal Laboratories | 36 36 | |
| | 4.1.1 | Analytical Methods | | | |
| | 4.1.2 | Analyti | cal Methods | 36 | |

Table of Contents (continued)

| | 4.1.3 | Processing Issues | 36 | | |
|-----|-------------------------|--|----|--|--|
| | 4.1.4 | Quality Assurance | 36 | | |
| | 4.2 | Neptunium, Plutonium, and Technetium in ICPP Uranium Product | | | |
| | | as Estimated by ORIGEN2 Calculations | 38 | | |
| | 4.3 | Analytical Results for Plutonium | 46 | | |
| | 4.3.1 | Plutonium Specification | | | |
| | 4.3.2 | Impurity Concentrations for Plutonium in Materials Shipped | 46 | | |
| | 4.4 | Analytical Results for Neptunium in Uranium Materials Shipped | 47 | | |
| | 4.4.1 | Neptunium Specifications Uranium Materials Shipped | 47 | | |
| | 4.4.2 | Impunity Concentration for Neptunium in Recycled Uranium Shipped | 47 | | |
| | 4.5 | Analytical Results for Technetium in Uranium Materials Shipped | 47 | | |
| | 4.5.1 | Technetium Specification in Recycled Uranium | 47 | | |
| | 4.5.2 | Impurity Concentration for Technetium in Uranium Materials Shipped | 47 | | |
| | 4.6 | Analytical Results for Material Received | 47 | | |
| | 4.7 | Discussion of Other Constituents | 47 | | |
| 5.0 | Mass Balance Activities | | | | |
| | 5.1 | Annual Mass Balance of Recycled Uranium | 51 | | |
| • | 5.2 | Annual Mass Balance for Plutonium | 51 | | |
| | 5.3 | Annual mass Balance for Neptunium | 51 | | |
| | 5.4 | Annual Mass Balance for Technetium-99 | 51 | | |
| | 5.5 | Annual Mass Balance for Other Constituents | 55 | | |
| | 5.6 | Potential for Worker Exposure from Recycled Uranium | 55 | | |
| | 5.7 | Potential for Environmental Contamination from Recycled Uranium | 55 | | |
| 6.0 | Results and Conclusions | | | | |
| | 6.1 | Explanation of mass Flow Paths and Contaminant Levels | 55 | | |
| | 6.2 | Identification of Processes or Areas of Concern for Worker Exposure | 56 | | |
| | 6.3 | Identification of Processes or Areas of Concern for Environmental Impact | 56 | | |
| | 6.4 | Discussion of Data Sources and Confidence Levels | 56 | | |
| | 6.5 | Conclusions | 58 | | |
| 7.0 | Refere | ences | 59 | | |
| | Appendix | | | | |

Tables

| Table I | ICPP Activity Chart | 17 |
|------------|--|----|
| Table II | Lung Clearance Classes Used to Determine the Relative Hazard from Various Isotopes | 22 |
| Table III | Comparative Risk and Effective Dose Equivalent for Isotopes in the Product from Processing at ICPP | 23 |
| Table IV | Shipments of Final Product | 28 |
| Table V | Fuel Processed at ICPP | 29 |
| Table VI | ORIGEN2 Results in Terms of Grams/100grams of Uranium | 40 |
| Table VII | ORIGEN Result in Terms of Ci/gU | 41 |
| Table VIII | Comparison of Pu/U Mass Ratios from Measured Decontamination Factors and Alpha Ratios | 44 |
| Table IX | Contaminants in ICPP Product. Based on ORIGEN2 Code Calculations and DFs from ICPP Process Data | 45 |
| Table X | Uranium-236 Content of ICPP Fuels | 48 |
| Table XI | Uranium-236 Quantities Sent to Receiving Sites | 49 |
| Table XII | Concentration of Contaminants in ICPP Product | 49 |
| Table XIII | Contaminants in ICPP Product | 50 |
| Table XIV | Material Shipped from ICPP | 50 |
| Table XV | Recycled Uranium Shipment | 52 |
| Table XVI | Ranges of Contaminants | 58 |

List of Figures

| Figure 1 | The Idaho Chemical Processing Plant as it Exists Today | 6 |
|------------|--|----------|
| Figure 2 | Historical Time Line of Important Events at Idaho Chemical Processing Plant | 7 |
| Figure 3 | Flowsheet of Processes used at ICPP | 9 |
| | | |
| | Appendix Figures | |
| Figure A1 | Simplified Chemical Flowsheet | |
| Figure A2 | Processing Flowsheet for Dissolution of Aluminum Fuels and First Cycle Solvent System Processing of the Aluminum Dissolver Product | |
| Figure A3 | Zirconium Processing Flowsheet for Campaigns 33 and 35 | |
| Figure A4 | Campaign 37 Electrolytic Dissolver Flowsheet for Processing Borax V Type Fuels (0.4 g SS dissolved/amp - hr) | |
| Figure A5 | Campaign 37 Flowsheet for Processing Dissolver Product Through The First Cycle Extraction System with No Raffinate Recycle | |
| Figure A6 | Coprocessing Dissolver Flowsheet for Campaign 30: PWR-ATR F | uels |
| Figure A7 | Flowsheet for Burnig of ROVER Fuel | |
| Figure A8 | Flowsheet for Dissolution of ROVER Ash | |
| Figure A9 | Campaign 37 Second and Third Cycle Extraction Flowsheet for High Uranium Concentration Feed | |
| Figure A10 | Campaign 37 Denitration Flowsheet for concentrated LIO (NO.) S | Alutions |

Acronyms

AEC Atomic Energy Commission

ALARA As Low As Reasonably Achievable

AMAD Activity Median Aerodynamic Diameter

BBWI Bechtel BWXT Idaho

CEDE Committed Effective Dose Equivalent CPM Continuous Processing Modification

DF Decontamination Factor
DOE Department of Energy
DPM (dpm) Disintegration Per Minute
DPS (dps) Disintegrations Per Second

DU Depleted Uranium

EBR-I Experimental Breeder Reactor I
EBR-II Experimental Breeder Reactor II
FAST Fluorinel and Storage Facility
FDP Fluorinel Dissolution Process
FECF Fuel Element Cutting Facility
HEU High Enriched Uranium

ICPP Idaho Chemical Processing Plant
IDMS Isotope Dilution Mass Spectrometry
IFSF Irradiated Fuel Storage Facility

INEEL Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

MTR Materials Testing Reactor
NBS National Bureau of Standards

NIST National Institute of Science and Technology

NP Neutron Producing

NWCF New Waste Calcination Facility

ORIGEN Oak Ridge Isotope Generation and Depletion

PGDP Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant

RAF Remote Analytical Facility
RAL Remote Analytical Laboratory
RALA Redicactive Lepthenum

RALA Radioactive Lanthanum ROVER Nuclear Rocket Program

SMC Special Manufacture Capability

TAN Test Area North
TRU Transuranic

WCF Waste Calcination Facility

Y-12 Weapons Plant at Oak Ridge, TN